



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

DA 11-1609

September 27, 2011

Ms. Connie McKinney
Glenwood Telecommunications, Inc.
357, 510 West Gage Street
Blue Hill, NE 68930-8000

Re: Call Sign KY96
File No.: SES-REG-20110822-00982

Dear Ms. McKinney:

On August 22, 2011, Glenwood Telecommunications, Inc. (Glenwood Telecommunications) filed the above-captioned registration application for a C-Band Receive-Only earth station.¹ For the reason stated below, we dismiss the registration application as defective, without prejudice to refiling.²

Section 25.112 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112, requires the Commission to return, as unacceptable for filing, any earth station application that is not substantially complete, contains internal inconsistencies, or does not substantially comply with the Commission's rules. Glenwood Telecommunications' application is not substantially complete which renders it unacceptable and subject to dismissal.

Section 25.115(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.115(a)(1), requires application for earth station to be submitted on FCC Form 312, Main Form and Schedule B, and include the information specified in Section 25.130 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.130.

In its application, Glenwood Telecommunications indicated a frequency range of 3700-4200 MHz. These frequencies are shared coequally with terrestrial radiocommunications services. Accordingly, Glenwood Telecommunications was required to include in its application a Frequency Coordination and Interference Analysis Report that is not older than 6 months from the date the earth station application is filed.³ Glenwood Telecommunications erroneously indicated, however, that it was not required to conduct frequency coordination in answer to Schedule B question E18 and similarly failed to include a frequency coordination report in its application.

¹ In its registration, Glenwood Telecommunications also sought to use the call sign from its expired authorization – call sign KY96.

² If Glenwood Telecommunications refiles a registration application in which the deficiencies identified in this letter have been corrected but otherwise identical to the one dismissed, it need not pay an application fee. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1111(d).

³ 47 C.F.R. §§ 25.115(c)(2)(iii) and 25.203.

In addition, Schedule B of its application did not specify the points of communication in block E21-E24, antenna information in blocks E28-E-40, frequency information in blocks E43/44-E49, and Frequency Coordination information in blocks E51-E60.

In light of the above, pursuant to Section 25.112(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112(a)(1) and Section 0.261 of the Commission's rules on delegations of authority, 47 C.F.R. § 0.261, we dismiss Glenwood Telecommunications' application.

Sincerely,

Paul E. Blais
Chief, Systems Analysis Branch
Satellite Division
International Bureau